

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

AP	PLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/688,568	10	0/17/2003	Dae-Kwang Jung	5000-1-474	2490	
	33942	7590	11/01/2006		EXAMINER		
	CHA & REI	•		SEDIGHIAN, REZA			
	210 ROUTE 4 EAST STE 103 PARAMUS, NJ 07652				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·				2613		
					DATE MAILED: 11/01/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				cf
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/688,568 JUNG ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	M. R. Sedighian	2613	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 O	ctober 2003.		
	· ·	action is non-final.		
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
С	losed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	n of Claims			
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.		•	
48	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
	claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are objected to.		•	
8)□ C	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application	n Papers		·	•
9)∐ Tł	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ TI	ne drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examine	r.
Α	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			• •
11)[Ti	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT0	D-152.
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠				
	. Certified copies of the priority documents		N-	
	 Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority 			\.
3	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		o in uns Nauonai s	otage
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	d	
	diagnos adianos emise adiam for a list	o. are continue copies not receive	· · ·	
	•			
Attachment(s	5)	_		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2613

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Healey et al. (US Patent Application Publication No: 2003/0007207 A1) in view of Kim et al. (US Patent Application Publication No: 2002/0159688 A1), or Gaebe (US Patent Application Publication No: 2001/0030810 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Healey teaches a Wavelength Division Multiplexing (WDM) light source apparatus (fig. 1), comprising: N SOAs (13, fig. 1) each having one end coated with a substance having a first reflection factor and the other end coated with a substance having a second reflection factor (page 2, paragraph 0034), the first reflection factor being higher than the second reflection factor (page 2, paragraph 0034), the N SOAs (13, fig. 1) modulating respective input signals (14, fig. 1) into optical signals according to a high speed data signal to be transmitted and amplifying the modulated signal (page 3, paragraph 0035, lines 9-17); a 1xN multiplexer/demultiplexer (9, fig. 1) having one end composed of N terminals (the N terminals of arrayed waveguide grating 9) and the other end composed of one terminal (the output terminal that is connected to fiber 3), the N terminal coupled with the N SOAs (13, fig. 1). Healy differs from the claimed invention in that Healy does not disclose a reflective mirror connected to the one terminal of the 1xN multiplexer/demultiplexer for reflecting a first portion of a signal received from the 1xN multiplexer/demultiplexer. However, it is well known to incorporate a mirror along the line of an optical transmission system to provide selective reflection of optical

Application/Control Number: 10/688,568

Art Unit: 2613

signal to redirect the light signal. For example, Kim teaches a 1xN Mux (2, fig. 6) that is

connected to a reflective mirror (12, fig. 6 and page 2, paragraph 0028). Likewise, Gaebe

teaches am optical multiplexer (515, fig. 5) and a reflective mirror (525, fig. 5 and page 4, claim

18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

invention to incorporate a reflective mirror, as it is taught by Kim or Gaebe, at the output of

multiplexer/demultiplexer 9 of Healy to selectively reflect and redirect the signal light.

3. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

4. Claim 6 is allowed over prior art of record.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (571) 272-3034.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/688,568

Art Unit: 2613

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. R. SEDIGHIAN PRIMARY EXAMINER